

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANTON COCHRAN

v.

SUPERINTENDANT JAY LANE and THE
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE
STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 17-2342

AMENDED ORDER

NOW, this 3rd day of January, 2018, upon consideration of the Petition for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* (Document No. 1), the Response to Petition for Writ of *Habeas Corpus*, the Report and Recommendation filed by United States Magistrate Judge Jacob P. Hart (Document No. 8), and the petitioner's objections to the report and recommendation (Document No. 10), and after a thorough and independent review of the record, it is **ORDERED** as follows:

1. The petitioner's objections are **OVERRULED**;
2. The Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Hart is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**¹;
3. The Petition for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* is **DENIED**; and,
4. There is no probable cause to issue a certificate of appealability.

/s/TIMOTHY J. SAVAGE

¹ The Magistrate Judge's recitation of the factual and procedural history is accurate. His legal analysis is thorough and correct. Therefore, we can add nothing to the well-reasoned and exhaustive report.

